

Committee Manager: Jane Fulton (Ext. 37611)

4 March 2016

OVERVIEW SELECT COMMITTEE

A meeting of the Overview Select Committee will be held in Committee Room 1 at the Arun Civic Centre, Maltravers Road, Littlehampton BN17 5LF on **Tuesday, 15 March 2016 at 6.00 pm** and you are requested to attend.

Members: Councillors Dingemans (Chairman), English (Vice-Chairman), Ballard, Mrs Bence, Blampied, Mrs Daniells, Edwards, Mrs Harrison-Horn, Hitchins, Hughes, Mrs Oakley, Oliver-Redgate, Mrs Rapnik, Warren and Dr Walsh.

A G E N D A

1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE
2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members and Officers are reminded to make any declaration of personal and/or prejudicial/pecuniary interests that they may have in relation to items on this agenda.

You should declare your interest by stating:

- a) the item you have the interest in
- b) whether it is a personal interest and the nature of the interest
- c) whether it is also a prejudicial/pecuniary interest

You then need to re-declare your interest and the nature of the interest at the commencement of the item or when the interest becomes apparent.

3. MINUTES

To approve as a correct record the Minutes of the meeting of the Overview Select Committee held on 26 January 2016 (which have been previously circulated.)

4. ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA THAT THE CHAIRMAN OF THE MEETING IS OF THE OPINION SHOULD BE CONSIDERED AS A MATTER OF URGENCY BY REASON OF SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES.

5. *ENGINEERING SERVICES ANNUAL REVIEW

This report is presented as the first of an annual update on the Council's Engineering Service Area. Separate reports have, in previous years, been presented to the Committee for the Coastal Defence and Land Drainage Sections.

The report provides an update to issues addressed in the preceding year and outlines matters that have that have arisen, or are foreseen, for the coming year across the service area. An expenditure relating to bus shelter provision is also regularised.

6. PLANNED REVIEW OF THE HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT (HRA) BUSINESS PLAN

The Director of Customer Services and the Head of Housing will attend the meeting and will outline what will be addressed as part of the planned review to be undertaken of the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) business Plan before this is presented to Cabinet on 31 May 2015.

7. CABINET MEMBER QUESTIONS AND UPDATES

- (i) Cabinet Members will update the Committee on matters relevant to their Portfolio of responsibility. Confirmation has been received that updates will be provided on the matters set out below:

Cabinet Member for Housing – Councillor Elkins

- New homes proposed at Wick, Littlehampton and Exploring new Opportunities
- The Housing and Planning Bill – Update
- Treasury Meeting held on 29 February 2016 - Update

Cabinet Member for Environmental Services – Councillor Chapman

- East Bank Flood Defence Scheme, Littlehampton – Update on the progress of work at Riverside Autos
- (ii) Members are invited to ask Cabinet Members questions and are encouraged to submit these to the Committee Manager in advance of the meeting to allow a more substantive answer to be given.

8. COUNCIL TAX SUPPORT TASK AND FINISH WORKING PARTY – 2 MARCH 2016

The Committee is asked to consider the Minutes from the meeting of the Council Tax Support Task and Finish Working Party meeting held on 2 March 2016 which will be circulated separately.

9. FEEDBACK FROM WEST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL'S JOINT SCRUTINY GROUP – 27 JANUARY 2016

Attached is a feedback report from the Chairman of the Committee, Councillor Dingemans, following his attendance at West Sussex County Council's Joint Scrutiny Group meeting held on 27 January 2016.

10. FEEDBACK FROM WEST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL'S JOINT SCRUTINY GROUP'S HOUSING PROVISION FOR CARE LEAVERS REVIEW – 2 MARCH 2016

A feedback report from the Chairman of the Committee, Councillor Dingemans, following his attendance at West Sussex County Council's Joint Scrutiny Group Housing Provision for Care Leavers Review meeting held on 2 March 2016 will be circulated separately.

11. FEEDBACK FROM THE MEETING OF WEST SUSSEX COUNTY COUNCIL'S HEALTH AND OVERVIEW SELECT COMMITTEE (HASC) HELD ON 10 MARCH 2016

The Committee will receive a verbal feedback report from the meeting of West Sussex County Council's Health and Overview Select Committee (HASC) held on 10 March 2016. The Committee is to note that the HASC meeting planned for 11 February 2016 was cancelled.

12. FEEDBACK FROM MEETING OF THE SUSSEX POLICE AND CRIME PANEL HELD ON 18 FEBRUARY 2016

The feedback report from the meeting of the Sussex Police and Crime Panel held on 18 February 2016 from the Cabinet Member for Community Services, Councillor Wotherspoon, will be circulated separately.

13. *WORK PROGRAMME - 2016/2017

The Council's Constitution requires that the Overview Select Committee makes a report annually on its future work programme and amended working methods, where appropriate.

The Committee is being asked at this meeting to consider topics that it would like to cover in the 2016/17 year so these can be discussed with the relevant officers and a work programme developed for discussion at the next meeting on 7 June 2016.

Members are requested to note that the Committee's Work Programme for 2016/17 will be considered at the first meeting of the new municipal year on 7 June 2016 and

will then be presented by the Chairman and Vice-Chairman to the Full Council Meeting on 20 July 2016.

The proposed work scheduled for the meeting on 7 June 2016 is attached for the Committee to discuss.

(Note: *Indicates report is attached for Members of the Committee only and the Press (excluding exempt items). Copies of reports can be viewed on the Council's web site at www.arun.gov.uk or can be obtained on request from the Committee Manager.)

(Note: Members are also reminded that if they have any detailed questions, would they please inform the Head of democratic Services, Cabinet Member and/or relevant Lead Officer in advance of the meeting in order that the appropriate Officer/Cabinet Member can attend the meeting.)

AGENDA ITEM. 5**ARUN DISTRICT COUNCIL****REPORT TO OVERVIEW SELECT COMMITTEE
ON 15 MARCH 2015****PART A : REPORT**

SUBJECT: Engineering Services Annual Review - 2016

REPORT AUTHOR: Roger Spencer - Engineering Services Manager ext. 37812 DATE: Feb 2015
--

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY: The report is presented as the first of an annual update on the Council's Engineering Service Area (separate reports have, in previous years, been presented for the Coastal Defence and Land Drainage Sections). The report provides an update to issues addressed in the preceding year and outlines matters that have arisen, or are foreseen for the coming year, across the service area. An expenditure relating to bus shelter provision is also regularised.
--

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee is requested to agree the report and RECOMMEND that CABINET:

1. Agrees to the report forming the basis of the Coast Protection Capital Budget for future years, subject to sufficient resources being available to fund the Council's proportion of the total costs (i.e. the minor ineligible costs).
2. Authorises the Engineering Services Manager to prepare details and make the necessary applications to enable the schemes noted in the body and annex of the report to proceed to the appropriate next stages. Further reports to Cabinet may be necessary regarding the proposals and/or funding.
3. Authorises a copy of this report to be sent to the Environment Agency [EA] for the benefit of the Agency's supervisory role in coastal defence.
4. Approves the draw down of funds and undertake the bus shelter works, including long term maintenance, in Anchor Springs (zero net financial effect to Arun)

1. Background

1.1 The review covers:

- Coastal Defence
- Land Drainage and
- Structural & General

This report meets one of the Government's High Level Targets in relation to flood and coastal defence.

1.2 Coastal Defence

Defra / EA Matters

- 1.2.1 As noted in the 2015 Coast Protection Review, schemes identified for the next 20 years have been included in the EA's Capital Investment Programme [CIP] (previously Medium Term Plan [MTP]). The next 6 years' spending appears in a working Plan – the previous MTP, which required formal annual submissions, these will no longer be necessary, being replaced with twice yearly updates and a much more flexible approach to expenditure phasing.
- 1.2.2 The forward programme is provided as an appendix to this report.
- 1.2.3 Coastal Group – The Group is Officer based but with the opportunity for Elected Members' to attend an annual meeting; the aim being to keep Members from across the Group's large geographical area better informed of the Group's work.
- 1.2.4 Partnership Funding continues to be part of the funding process. Depending on its priority, a proposed scheme will usually require 3rd party / community contributions; the lower the priority the higher the level of local contribution(s) required for the scheme to proceed.
- 1.2.5 Arun has allocated three annual sums of £250,000 within its Forward Capital Programme (starting in 2016/17) for contributions to a Community Flood Fund, to enable Partnership Funding contributions to be made. This is intended to meet the requirements of a range of coastal erosion and flood risk reduction schemes (coastal and inland) across the District. As the priorities for the inland flood schemes have not yet been finalised (within the WSCC programme), the availability of partnership funding for coastal erosion schemes is not established.

Asset Management

- 1.2.6 The Government's High Level Targets contained a requirement for coastal defence assets to be inspected and the results logged on a common (IT) system. The system used by the EA (initially intended to be available to local authorities) has proved not to be practicable for local authorities' use and so discussions have been taking place with EA, to establish how local authorities can meet the High Level Targets.
- 1.2.7 In the meantime, under the umbrella of the South East Coastal Group, investigations are taking place to establish if a system developed and used nationally in another service area is practicable for use on the coast.

Pagham Beach

- 1.2.8 Members will be aware of the development of the Church Norton shingle spit at the Pagham Harbour entrance, and the resultant erosion and scour problems being experienced along the Pagham Beach frontage. Previous reports to Cabinet have set out the problem and the mitigation measures that have been put in place under the Coastal Defence Strategy policy of 'Adaptive Management'.

- 1.2.9 The area is dynamic and subject to a number of national and international environmental designations. The spit is continuing to grow eastwards, causing the scour and erosion also to spread eastwards. Additionally, the beach in the area of the Yacht Club is subject to erosion caused by incident wave energy and the interruption of longshore drift of shingle.
- 1.2.10 It should also be noted that the body of the spit has recently been subject to localised lowering and degradation. This has been seen in the past but the current lowering is more extreme than anything experienced previously. Whether this lowering will continue, and what the effect might be, is not predictable with any degree of certainty.
- 1.2.11 There has been a widespread call from the community for the issue to be resolved by cutting through the spit (in a position near to where the channel discharged to the sea before 2003). Following the appointment of external consultants, Pagham Parish Council has submitted a Planning Application.
- 1.2.12 This work involved the preparation of an Environmental Impact Assessment [EIA] and is likely to require an Appropriate Assessment prior to the determination of the Application. There is a similar Application to Chichester DC, running in parallel with the one submitted to Arun DC, due to the geographic location of the proposal.
- 1.2.13 Following determination of the Application(s) it is anticipated that further reports to this Committee or Cabinet will be made in due course.
- 1.2.14 Arun DC continues to monitor the beach and plan how to manage the erosion and Flood and Erosion Management Strategies
- 1.2.15 Arun to Pagham Strategy: The EA formally approved this Strategy in September 2015 – a link to the Strategy document can be found in 8. Background Papers (below).
- 1.2.16 There are two other coastal strategies for the Arun frontage (Rivers Arun to Adur and Pagham to East Head); both have previously been formally approved by Defra.
- Strategic Regional Coastal Monitoring
- 1.2.17 The 2015 Southeast Strategic Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme Report gives a wide range of information gathered as part of the project e.g. waves, tides and meteorological data, as well as topographic and hydrographic data. This report is available online (www.channelcoast.org)
- 1.2.18 A summary of changes to Arun's beaches between Spring 2014 and Spring 2015 is given below:
- The Council's frontage is divided into 7 Survey Units; all experienced minor losses and gains over their lengths but were on the whole, relatively stable. The percentage changes (averaged across the whole unit) over the preceding year.

Unit 23 – Church Norton to Pagham Harbour

a net loss in beach cross sectional area of 0.3%.

Unit 22 - Pagham to West Bognor Regis

a net loss in beach cross sectional area of 1.0%.

Unit 21 - West Bognor Regis to Elmer

a net gain in beach cross sectional area of 2.1%.

Unit 20 – Elmer

a net gain in beach cross sectional area of 0.3%.

Unit 19 - Elmer to Littlehampton Harbour Mouth

a net gain in beach cross sectional area of 5.4%.

Unit 18 - Littlehampton Harbour Mouth to Rustington

a net gain in beach cross sectional area of 0.4%.

Unit 17 - Rustington to Goring-by-Sea

a net gain in beach cross sectional area of 1.3%.

1.2.19 Commentary on Arun's Beaches

- Eastern Beaches (Ferring to Littlehampton) : minor losses and gains - No major damage;
- Climping : Not managed by Arun District Council – EA has recycled shingle
- Elmer : some loss of shingle behind eastern islands and reshaping of beaches – collaborative scheme (Arun / EA) within EA's programme to address the situation.
- Middleton on Sea : The area continues to be, outside of Pagham, the area requiring closest monitoring and response. The area east of Sea Lane has seen minor losses, although there have been some recent, localised improvements as a result of shingle brought in by storm conditions.
- Felpham & Bognor Regis : some losses to the west of the Pier; east of Pier has improved – Felpham has seen alternate geographic losses and gains.
- Aldwick [eastern part] : some losses and gains west of Dark Lane, with little change in overall volumes area; east of Dark Lane improving.
- Aldwick [west part **private**] : minor losses (in west) and gains (in east).
- Pagham – see above

Working with Neighbouring Councils

1.2.20 Whilst there is a close working relationship with Chichester DC for Land Drainage matters, this hasn't been the case for coastal defence of late (Chichester DC now being better resourced). Discussions with Chichester, Worthing and Adur Councils, into the possibility of closer working arrangements across a range of engineering functions will be moving forward as part of the Vision exercise.

Revenue Works

1.2.21 An annual financial transfer is made from the Coast Protection Revenue budget to cover a portion of the Multi Skilled Team's [MST] overall costs. Any materials required and external Contractors' costs are financed from the remaining revenue budget.

1.2.22 The MST has again endeavoured to provide the first line response for reactive repairs and planned maintenance, carrying out mainly repairs and refurbishment of the timber groyne field. This has proved problematic, due to staffing and equipment issues. The use of local contractors, where specific skills and/or equipment are required, has been utilised but the workload of such contractors has remained high. It is foreseen that the further use of external contractors will need to continue into 2016/17.

1.2.23 Every effort has been made to preserve the standard of coastal protection and the service provided to the public. However, priorities have had to be set and at times difficult choices have had to be made and, with the limited resources, the previous service response times cannot always be achieved.

Financial Statement

1.2.24 A table is included as an Appendix; this shows the planned Capital Coast Protection programme for 2016/17 and future years. This will form the basis of the 2016/17 Coast Protection Capital Budget, subject to there being sufficient resources to fund the Council's proportion of the cost of the schemes i.e. the minor elements that are not eligible for grant aid.

1.2.25 Gaining Partnership Funding (see 1.2.4 above) will continue to feature as an important and necessary part of the scheme preparation process, as 100% Government funding is not normally available now.

Report Dissemination

1.2.26 A copy of this report will be presented to the Arun Area Committees and to the Environment Agency, as appropriate.

1.3 Land Drainage

1.3.1 The Council has a responsibility under the Land Drainage Act 1991 to maintain watercourses on its land and where it is the riparian owner. It also works with West Sussex County Council (as the Local Lead Flood Authority – under the Flood and Water Management Act 2010) on consenting and enforcement activities in relation to land drainage.

1.3.2 Officers also provide advice to residents and landowners – this involvement is almost certain to increase if and when the Internal Drainage Boards are dissolved – see below.

1.3.3 Strategic input to the process of land drainage management is also made possible through the operational and strategic officer groups chaired by WSCC (the West Sussex Flood Risk Management Group and Board respectively).

Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) :

1.3.4 In changes to the original proposals set out in the Flood and Water Management Act 2010, new development of more than 9 units are now required to pursue a SuDS principles – this requirement is to be administered through the Planning process – a requirement that came into effect from 6 April 2015.

1.3.5 Discussions are continuing with the Director of Planning and Regeneration to explore how this is best administered; a process that is challenging, not least due to the lack of resources and lack of further guidance from DCLG.

1.3.6 Drainage Plans and Strategies :

- Angmering (Black Ditch)_– Being led by EA – little progress due to staffing resource.
- Aldingbourne - Being led by EA – Majority of the investigatory work is complete; options are being explored
- Elmer - Being led by Southern Water – Study complete; delivery of the options is being investigated.
- Lidsey - Being led by EA - Study complete; delivery of the options is being investigated (with input from Arun)

1.3.7 The West Sussex Flood Risk Management Group/Board has initiated a prioritised list of works across the County; Arun has made input to this list but this has not been the case for other members of the Group/Board. Accordingly it has not been possible to prioritise the full list yet but Arun's entries on the list are being addressed on an individual basis. This is not an ideal situation and it makes the allocation of the Council's Community Flood Fund (above) difficult.

Major schemes :

1.3.8 Three schemes that can be progressed have been included on the EA's CIP and which are likely to attract substantial Flood Defence Grant in Aid (FDGiA). These are:

- Arun Partnership Watercourse Management
- Provision of positive sea outfalls (3 No. in Rustington / E. Preston)
- Extension of the existing sea outfall at Kingston

1.3.9 Arun made a £50,000 provision for increased land drainage maintenance, to be spread over the three years up to 2015/16. Expenditure is progressing, to complete on target.

Internal Drainage Boards (IDBs):

1.3.10 There are two IDBs in Arun District. Following consultation, the EA, which currently acts as the Board in both cases (as well as others in the South East, outside of Arun), has put papers before the Secretary of State to dissolve the IDBs.

1.3.11 The South West Sussex IDB is straightforward but there are issues in the River Arun IDB (north of Arun District) that complicate the process. However, despite the delays to date and the potential further delays for the Arun IDB, both are expected to be dissolved in 2016/17.

- 1.3.12 The substantial sums that Arun pays in rates and levies to the IDBs will be retained in house, as set out in the Cabinet decision of March 2014, with the intent to provide staff and operation resources, enabling a transition to riparian owners undertaking the necessary maintenance and for resolving issues that affect a wider area of the drainage network.
- 1.3.13 As noted above, Arun has a working arrangement with WSCC whereby the County Council pays Arun £25k p.a. to undertake watercourse consenting and initial enforcement actions. This process is working well.
- 1.3.14 WSCC has a similar arrangement with Chichester DC and Arun undertakes some of this work for Chichester on a rechargeable basis.
- 1.3.15 In both cases (Arun & Chichester), the enforcement process is initiated by Arun officers but the final (Court, or other action, as appropriate) is undertaken by the County Council's Legal Team.

1.4 Structural & General

- 1.4.1 The Section undertakes a range of tasks for other Internal and External Services:
- 1.4.2 Structural Calculation checking for Building Control – a cost effective and flexible arrangement that ensures a suitable level of checking is applied to structural calculations submitted as part of Building Control submissions. There is the possibility to support Building Control, in its provision of services outside of Arun District
- 1.4.3 Structural advice for other services e.g. Housing; provision of timely advice to Housing Repairs and Planned Maintenance on arrange of issues that arise.
- 1.4.4 Street lighting management – covering car parks, housing sites and others; oversight of Arun's lighting assets – these are maintained by the WSCC framework contractor.
- 1.4.5 Play Area inspections – in Public Open Spaces, Housing sites and also some Town and Parish areas (approx. 400 in total). Currently, there are two levels of inspection (routine/visual and operational). The routine/visual will be included in the new Parks Maintenance Contract but the 'operational' inspections, which are more detailed and comprehensive, will still be undertaken by Engineering staff trained in this type of work, to ensure that safety and insurance cover are maintained.
- 1.4.6 Bus Shelters – there are three main shelter provisions Arun, Parish and Clear Channel (approx. 100 in total). Engineering Services provides a point of contact for enquiries and liaises with Property and Estates for the maintenance of the Arun operated shelters. The Clear Channel shelters are operated independently by the company and funded by advertising within the shelter.
- 1.4.7 WSCC asked Arun Engineering Services to deliver a project to replace the bus shelters in Anchor Springs, Littlehampton. The works were required to make the facility fit for purpose for the new Stagecoach 700 coastline service and included changes to the Bus Stop locations, street furniture and provision of Real Time Passenger Information displays.

- 1.4.8 Total cost of project including project management, consultation and some highway safety improvement as well as the provision of the shelter replacements was £37,277, including a long term maintenance sum of £7,568. All monies coming from WSCC via S106 contributions and a contribution from Stagecoach.
- 1.4.9 Whilst Arun was able to undertake the project at no net cost and was able to meet the WSCC/Stagecoach fast-track requirement, spending authority was unfortunately not sought prior to undertaking the project. Accordingly, retrospective authority is now sought to regularise the financial situation and draw-down the funds received from WSCC.
- 1.5 Other**
- 1.5.1 River wall collapse River Road, Arundel. The Environment Agency undertook emergency repairs to the river wall fronting 23 River Road in December 2015; a more extensive collapse occurred on 8 January 2016 and the EA undertook further stabilising works. Arun provided support to the residents under its duties under the Civil Contingencies Act and chaired several meetings of a Recovery Co-ordination Group (although there was no formal declaration of the emergency).
- 1.5.2 The situation remains as it was in January, with the ends of the remaining river walls appearing very unstable and an increased risk of flooding on extreme high tides; whilst there has been damage to the patios and a garden wall, the properties remain intact. Unfortunately, the responsibility for undertaking the repairs, and what the extent of what those repairs might be, remains unclear but what is clear is that Arun DC has no involvement in that part of the situation. The recovery phase has been closed with a progression to a Technical Group, chaired by the Town Council with input from a range of others including Arun DC.
- 1.5.3 It is anticipated that the Council's Emergency Planning Manager will present a report in the issue in due course.
- 1.5.4 Members of the Engineering Services team also utilise their design, management and supervisory skills to input to other *ad hoc* Council projects e.g. the Beach on the Beach pilot and its 2016 upgrade.

2. PROPOSAL(S):

Members to note the report and adopt the Recommendations

3. OPTIONS:

Adopt the Recommendations

Not adopt the Recommendations, which would have effects on:

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Coastal Defence Strategies • The Capital & Revenue Programmes • Provision of suitable Standards of Service • Meeting Government High Level Targets 		
4. CONSULTATION:		
Has consultation been undertaken with:	YES	NO
Relevant Town/Parish Council		✓
Relevant District Ward Councillors		✓
Other groups/persons (please specify) Arun Area Committees	(in due course, as committee cycles permit)	
5. ARE THERE ANY IMPLICATIONS IN RELATION TO THE FOLLOWING COUNCIL POLICIES: (Explain in more detail at 6 below)		
Financial	✓	
Legal	✓	
Human Rights/Equality	✓	
Community Safety	✓	
Sustainability	✓	
Asset Management/Property/Land	✓	
Technology		✓
Other (please explain)	✓	
6. IMPLICATIONS:		
<p><u>Financial</u> – The report contains recommendations for future budget provision [which may also depend upon the future approval and funding arrangements administered by the Environment Agency].</p> <p><u>Legal</u> - The Council has entered into agreements so that it may maintain the protection of a number of frontages.</p> <p><u>Human Rights / Equality</u> - There are public expectations that suitable defences are in place to reduce the risk to life and property. The Council has permissive powers to act but is not obliged to do so.</p> <p><u>Community Safety & Sustainability</u> - The defences should be constructed and maintained in a suitable (safe and sustainable) manner.</p> <p><u>Asset Management</u> - The way in which the coastline is managed will have a direct impact upon:</p>		

- a) the defence elements (e.g. the conditions of the timber groynes and concrete seawalls).
- b) reducing the risk of erosion of both Council and private land
- c) potential to affect neighbouring coastlines

Other - The report will enable the Council to work towards meeting the Government's High Level Targets.

7. REASON FOR THE DECISION:

To enable the continued positive and planned management of defences for the Arun District Coastline.

8. BACKGROUND PAPERS:

Priority Scoring / Outcome Measures system: Defra website

<http://www.defra.gov.uk/envirom/fcd/policy/grantaid.htm#AnnexB>

<http://www.defra.gov.uk/envirom/fcd/policy/strategy/sd4/default.htm>

High Level Targets – Defra website

<http://www.defra.gov.uk/envirom/fcd/hltarget/default.htm>

River Arun to Pagham Coastal Erosion Risk management Strategy -

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/469503/F1516_0552_A2P_Strategy_Appraisal_Report_v3.0.pdf

Annual Survey Report 2015 - Beachy Head to Selsey Bill – Southeast Strategic Regional Coastal Monitoring Programme <http://www.channelcoast.org>

Report on the coastal management practices at Pagham - Secretary of State for the Environment - <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/report-on-the-coastal-management-practices-at-pagham>

APPENDIX

REVENUE COASTAL PROGRAMME 2016/17 AND BEYOND

Detail & Summary

Location	Beach Name	Planned Capital or Minor Works in near future	Main Works required within Maintenance Works Programme for 2016/17.	Risk Management Authority
Ferring	Ferring (east of Sea Lane)	*		Worthing BC
	Central		Replace worn planking	Arun DC
	Ferring Rife			EA
Kingston		✓	Replace worn planking & fix new planking where appropriate Planking to seaward ends at Sea Road - monitor groynes by River for H&S	Arun DC
East Preston				
Rustington				
&				
Littlehampton				
<i>River Arun</i>				Lit'n Harbour Bd
Climping		Joint project with EA to address issues at Elmer		EA
Middleton on Sea	Elmer Sands			Arun DC
&			Replace worn & missing planks to groynes and breastwork. repairs concrete seawall/steps.	
Felpham				EA
	Aldingbourne Rife			Arun DC
Bognor Regis			Minor planking works with some reprofiling. Isolated concrete repairs and shingle regrading.	
&				
Aldwick		✓		
	Craigweil & Aldwick Bay			Private
Pagham	East & West Front Rds	✓	Potential capital works - monitor closely	Arun DC
	Pagham Harbour			EA

Summary		2016/17	20017/18	20018/19	20019/20
		[£000s]	[£000s]	[£000s]	[£000s]
Total site specific planned works	[20016/17 from above : 2017/18 and beyond is assumed]	55	50	45	45
General - across the District inc.	Tide Station Maintenance Stock Timber / Steelwork Public safety (debris removal etc.) Misc. & BMP Contribution Reactive Provision	24	24	24	24
	TOTAL BUDGET (F30-201)	104	104	104	104
	Part of Total undertaken by MST	72	72	72	72

NB The costs shown above are for Works and associated costs only. Salaries, Admin., Equipment, Capital charges, Contributions to other bodies and Central On-Costs are not shown

**CAPITAL COASTAL PROGRAMME 2016/17
with 2017/18 and beyond**

INDICATIVE PROGRAMME (Subject to MTP)

Description	Total (£000s)	Current Budget 2016/17	Out turn Estimate	2017/18 Forecast	2017/18 & Beyond	Likely level of FDGiA	Notes
Regional Monitoring Phase 3 (years 6 to 10)	NO NET COST TO ARUN DC						Lead Authority = Worthing BC
Coastal Defence Strategy River Arun - Pagham	Approved September 2015						Lead Authority = Environment Agency
Pagham EIA (precursor to 'cut the spit' option) & Business Case Development	NO NET COST TO ARUN DC						Currently a 'community' project
Pagham 'cut the spit'	1,000	0	0	0	0	poor	Currently a 'community' project
Middleton on Sea Breastworks and seawall toe protection	1,189	0	0	0	1189	v. poor	Not likely to proceed in 1st 6 years of programme
Pagham - Beach Management	80*	40*	40*	40	40 pa	good	* 2016/17 & 2017/18 Approved by EA
Beach Management Plan Arun to Pagham	256	4	6	56	54 - 51 pa	good	In partnership with adjacent authorities
Capital Recycling (west of R. Arun)	124	0	0	34	90	good	Exploring Strategic approach with partners
Elmer	NO NET COST TO ARUN DC						Lead Authority = Environment Agency
Groyne Replacements Ph 10 (Aldwick)	692	0	0	0	692	fair	
Groyne Replacements Ph 11 (Rustington)	702	0	0	0	702	fair	
Total	3963	44	46	96			

Partnership Funding

The requirement for 3rd party funding relates to the likelihood of Flood Defence Grant in Aid (FDGiA). A poor likelihood of FDGiA indicates a high requirement for Partnership Funding

OVERVIEW SELECT COMMITTEE
15 MARCH 2016

Name of Meeting:	West Sussex Joint Scrutiny Steering Group Meeting
Date of Meeting:	27 January 2016
Report by:	Councillor Dingemans
Relevant Cabinet Member:	Councillor Chapman
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This meeting was held at County Hall North in Horsham and was the first meeting of the Steering Group since 31 March 2014 • The terms of reference for the Steering Group were considered and agreed as still pertinent. • The Flooding Task and Finish Group's report went to WSCC's Environment and Community Services Select Committee on 13 January 2016 and the recommendations in the report were supported by the Committee • It was agreed to set up a Task and Finish Group and consider the current housing provision for care leavers in West Sussex and to report back by May 2016 	
<p>Potential Implications for Arun District Council or Actions Required:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Await to see the outcomes of this next review 	
<p>Should this be raised at Cabinet by the relevant Cabinet Member or at the Overview Select Committee?</p> <p>Yes – regular feedback will be provided to the Committee</p>	

AGENDA ITEM NO. 13ARUN DISTRICT COUNCILOVERVIEW SELECT COMMITTEE – 15 MARCH 2016

Discussion Paper

Subject : Work Programme for 2016/17

Report by : Liz Futch, Head of Democratic Services

Report date : February 2016

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Council's Constitution requires that the Overview Select Committee makes a report annually on its future work programme and amended working methods, where appropriate.

The Committee is being asked at this meeting to consider topics that it would like to cover in the 2016/17 year so these can be discussed with the relevant officers and a work programme developed for discussion at the next meeting on 7 June 2016.

1.0 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 The Council's Constitution at Scrutiny Procedure Rule 5.1 confirms the requirement for the Committee to present its work programme annually to Full Council for approval. The Committee then has authority to change its work programme during the year subject to prior consultation with the Head of Democratic Services, and taking into account available resources.
- 1.2 The work programme for 2015/16 focused on the key areas of the Committee's responsibilities. It included two major reviews – the East Bank project and the Local Plan process; regular updates on joint scrutiny across West Sussex; and aimed to hold Cabinet Members to account through questions and updates. The Terms of Reference for the Committee and call-in procedure were also reviewed during the year.

2.0 DEVELOPING A WORK PROGRAMME FOR 2016/17

- 2.1 The Chairman and Vice-Chairman welcome suggestions from Members of the Committee on what issues they would like to develop or review over the coming year working to the key themes of the Committee's responsibilities, namely:

- Policy/Strategy Reviews
- Performance Reviews
- Contractor/Partner Performance Reviews
- Partner Reviews
- Feedback from Joint Scrutiny in West Sussex
- Holding Cabinet to account

2.2 The items so far identified by the officer team that could come forward in the coming year are:

Date	Topic	Reporting to
7 June 2016	<i>Strategy Review</i> - The Future Council 2020 Vision	Council 20.07.16
	<i>Policy Review</i> - Council's Filming & Photographic Policy	
26 July 2016	<i>Policy Review</i> - Corporate Plan performance outturn for 2015/16	Cabinet 19.09.16
	<i>Policy Review</i> - Service Delivery Plan performance outturn for 2015/16	
22 November 2016	<i>Partner Review</i> – Voluntary Action Arun & Chichester Group	Cabinet 12.12.16
24 January 2017	<i>Strategy Review</i> – Council Budget 2017/18	Cabinet 06.02.17
	<i>Policy Review</i> - Corporate Plan Q2 performance update for 2016/17	
	<i>Policy Review</i> - Service Delivery Plan Q2 performance update for 2016/17	
21 March 2017	<i>Policy Review</i> - Engineering Services Annual Review	Cabinet 10.04.17

2.3 It is recognised that the work programme can seem repetitive and with the Council a year into its 4 year term, the Committee may wish to consider taking a different approach for the coming year. In proposing topics, Members are recommended to take a challenging approach and consider:

1. Is the subject important?
2. Will a review bring value?
3. What will be the outcome of looking at this subject again?

2.4 The two areas that the Committee may particularly wish to review are:

- (i) how Cabinet Members are “held to account”; and
- (ii) whether the format for receiving Joint Scrutiny updates is working.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS

3.1 The Committee has the opportunity to consider how it wishes to approach its work programme for the coming year to fulfil its responsibility of scrutinising the work of the Council. Once agreed, the work programme will be an evolving document, subject to ongoing review reflecting changes in priorities and how the Council is working.

3.2 The Committee's views are welcomed.

Background Paper: [Council's Constitution](#)

Contact: Liz Fitcher 01903 737610